G.H. 12.

1928.

Department of. L R & Sett

OFFICE Of The Bd of Rev

STATION Madras

Mis **No.** 4115

6-12-28

Dated 6. 12. 28

C9544/28

To whom sent		Date	Initial
	Given for copying		
	Copied		
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched		
	Indexed		
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on *		

(SUBJECT.)

Village Officers. (Criminal Acts) - Kollegal Th. Mudikundam village - Headman dismissal - Restoration on second appeal - Payment of allowance - Ordered

Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

C.F. 30.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

BOARD OF REVENUE
22 NOV 1928
LAND REV. & SETTLEMENT

Received ..... 192 *Registered* C9544 192

Subject.

Village Officers - Headman - Kollegal Taluk - Mudikundam village - Pattu Madappa - dismissal - Restoration on second appeal - period of absence from duty - Pay.

ENCLOSURES.
No .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 10402/28-A-3

Station Coimbatore *Dated the* 18th November 1928

From (Name) E.F.Thomas, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of Coimbatore.

To The Secretary to the ~~Government of Madras~~ Commissioners of Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras. ~~Department.~~

Sir,

(R) The Board in its proceedings Misc., No. 2125 dated 3-7-28 allowed the Second appeal against dismissal of Pattu Madappa, the headman of Mudikundam village, Kollegal Taluk and ordered that the period he had been out of employ should be treated as on duty. The headman was relieved from duty on 8-12-26 and was restored to office on 1-8-28. He had thus been out of employ for 1 year, 7 months and 24 days. As the period was ordered by the Board to be treated as one of duty, the headman requests that he may be paid an allowance equal to his full salary for the whole period he was out of employ. He further states that he was not employed elsewhere, that he did not derive any income from any

~~otherwise~~

2

other source during the period and that he was solely engaged in looking after his own agricultural work. Under note 4 to article 54 of the Special Fund Code read with article 193(b) and (c) of the Civil Service Regulations, I request the definite orders of the Board whether the headman should be given any pay for the period he was out of employ and if so whether the whole pay or only a portion of it should be given.

for Collector.
21.11.28

A.P.L.20-10.

DISTRICT OFFICE
21 NOV 1928

3

Village Officers (Coimbatore) - Kollegal Thudikundam Vge. Headman dismissal and restoration on second appeal. Payment of allowance - Ordered

p.c.4

C.9544/28-0

Res(Rs) 4.12.28

The collector is informed ~~that the Board's intention was~~ that the headman ~~should receive~~ may be given this full pay for the period he was out of employ

(f.o) 6.12.28

1 year 7 months pending

PRS
4.12.28

4.12.28

To The Coll. of Coimbatore

Village Officers (Coimbatore) - Kollegal Taluk Headman of Mudikundam village - dismissal - Restoration on second appeal - Payment of allowance

G.O.Ms.No.1544/24.

Jo.As.

Please see the Collector's letter, Pattu Madappa, Headman of Mudikundam village who was dismissed by the R.D.O., was restored by the Board on second appeal. He was out of employ for one year seven months and 24 days and in the order of restoration this period was ordered to be treated as an duty. The headman now claims full pay for this period and the Collector enquires whether the whole pay or only a portion of it should be given. Note 4 to Art 54 of the S.F. Code read with rule 54 of the Fundamental rules lays down that the appellate authority should decide whether he should receive full pay for the period he was out of employ or only a portion of such pay according to the circumstances of the case. In the present case the charges against the headman have been found to be unsustainable. To orders whether full pay may be allowed.

2. The Collector has not stated whether a substitute was appointed during the period in question. As the period is long, evidently there must have been a

substitute. If so, any allowance now sanctioned to the permanent headman will be an additional cost to government. This can be met from the anticipated savings in the current year's budget

The allowance of the full pay or a part of it is a matter within the discretion of the Board.

VRS 27-11-28 27.11.28 The Board does not generally sanction pay in these cases - Vide Bf 2772 (C) Min of 4.2.26 and Bf 2742 Min of 23.9.27 - (S) - last paragraph of p 10 of the note fe In Bf 3113 Min of 21.10.1927 however, the Board (H) last paragraph of p 16 n.f. allowed the full pay - In the present case it was, that the period the appellant had been out of p 89 of f (R) employ should be treated as on duty - The full pay for the period will be roughly Rs 260/- Rs 13 a month for 20 months

Library Min 28.11.28 Put up for order 30.11.28

The question was whether he should receive this pay or not

A.S.

To M

Draft submitted

VRS 4.12.28 4.12.28 6.12.28

S.L. Stamps Min 5.12.28